

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,628	07/19/2001	Joanne Louise Whitaker	CM1993M	7144
27752	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			DOUYON, LORNA M	
	UAL PROPERTY DIVI LL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	R HILL AVENUE		1751	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		D. TE M. W. ED. 05/10/000	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <u> </u>			
, v	09/889,628	WHITAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Lorna M. Douyon	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			 ,			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory proportion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th						
•	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,16-28 and 32</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,16-19,25-28 and 32</u> is/are rejected	d.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction until	or olocion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	.n.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·	, , ,	a).			
	zammor. Noto tro ditaone	d 011100 / total of 101111 1 0 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received					
 Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer 		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bure	-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)	∧ □	O (DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/889,628

Art Unit: 1751

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 24, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1, 16-29, 31-32 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt (EP 0,799,886) in view of Davidson (US Patent No. 3,951,821), and further in view of Gladfelter et al. (WO 92/20774) is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and arguments therein.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The copending foreign applications in the following pages should be updated:

page 32, lines 25 and 40;

page 33, line 1;

page 34, lines 18 and 38;

page 36, lines 22 and 30; and

page 38, line 10.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The upper limit of the compression pressure in the first phase which is "about 300 kg/cm²" is nowhere supported in the specification. The specification on page 4, line 26 and page 8, line 36 discloses this same pressure but for the second phase <u>not</u> for the first phase. Hence, this is considered as new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,628

Art Unit: 1751

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1, 16-19, 25-28 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,943; claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,944; claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,551,981; claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,551,982; or claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,589,932; each in view of Davidson (US Patent No. 3,951,821).

Each of US Patent No. 6,544,943; 6,544,944; 6,551,981; 6,551,982 and 6,589,932 teaches a similar tablet having two phases wherein one phase has a mould and another phase is contained within the mould, each phase being compressed at different compression pressures and comprising polymeric disintegrant except for the particle size of the polymeric disintegrant as required in the present claims.

Davidson teaches disintegrating agents for tablets wherein the disintegrating agents include cellulose or cellulose acetate hollow fibers having inside diameters on the order of about 5 to about 1000 microns and a tubule length from about 50 microns to 2 to 3 millimeters, the tubules having outside diameters ranging from about 10 to about 50 microns to about 300 to about 1100 microns and axial length is preferably about 100-1000 microns (see abstract; col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/889,628 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reasonably expect the polymeric disintegrants of each of the above mentioned patents to have a particle size within those recited because it is shown by Davidson that polymeric disintegrating agents for tablets have sizes which overlap those recited.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record teaches, discloses or suggests a detergent tablet having two or more phases having different compression pressures, as recited in the claims, and which comprises the recited water-soluble hydrated salt.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,628

Art Unit: 1751

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751